

Message

From: Huitric, Michele [Huitric.Michele@epa.gov]
Sent: 9/27/2017 10:50:24 PM
To: Manzanilla, Enrique [Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov]; Lyons, John [Lyons.John@epa.gov]; Herrera, Angeles [Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov]
CC: Chesnutt, John [Chesnutt.John@epa.gov]; Harris-Bishop, Rusty [Harris-Bishop.Rusty@epa.gov]; Huitric, Michele [Huitric.Michele@epa.gov]
Subject: Update on media query - Hunters Point - freelance reporter

Hi – Just a quick update that HQ OPA has signed off on the following response for the HPNS questions that we received from the freelance reporter. In order to meet the reporter's deadline, I would like to send her the response by noon on Thursday (9/28). Please let me know before then if you have any concerns.

Thanks,
Michele

Proposed response:

Please see below for answers to your questions. For additional information on the Hunters Point Naval Shipyard cleanup, you can contact William Franklin, Navy public affairs officer: (619) 524-5433, william.d.franklin@navy.mil.

Q1: To what extent is the EPA overseeing this remediation project?

EPA helps protect human health and the environment by managing the cleanup of hazardous waste sites across the nation. In the case of former military sites, such as the Hunters Point Naval Shipyard (HPNS), the Department of Defense is the lead for the investigation and cleanup. In overseeing the Navy's cleanup of the HPNS, EPA's goal is to ensure that the community is protected from exposure to radiation and other hazardous substances and that the site can be used for work, recreation, and residential purposes.

Q2: What has been the nature of the EPA's engagement with the Navy through this project? how are you coordinating remediation efforts with the Navy? Who takes the lead on what?

The Navy is the lead agency responsible for the investigation and cleanup of the HPNS. EPA and the State of California regulatory agency partners oversee and enforce Navy compliance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (commonly called the Superfund law) and other requirements to ensure the cleanup at the HPNS protects human health and the environment. The Navy and regulatory agencies work together to decide how to address the contamination; the Navy also gathers community input through a public process.

Q3: How is the EPA working with the community members who live near the Shipyard? How are community members being involved in the cleanup?

The Navy leads the community involvement process at HPNS, which has included hiring a local community liaison and a community technical advisor, hosting bus tours and community workshops, and giving presentations for local organizations. EPA participates in Navy community engagement events, takes part in meetings hosted by local organizations, and responds to inquiries from the general public.

Q4: Can you help me explain to readers why two consulting firms / subcontractors for the Navy (Tetra Tech and CH2M Hill) might have produced false information for their remediation reports?

The Navy manages the contracts for the HPNS cleanup. Please contact the Navy with any questions about contractors' work at the site.

Q5: How does the EPA take into account sea level rise and potential natural disasters (namely earthquakes) when overseeing this sort of coastal remediation work?

When approving a remedy for a Superfund site, EPA takes into consideration the long-term effectiveness and permanence of the potential remedy. The effects of sea level rise and potential natural disasters are usually considered as part of this approval process.

The Navy accounted for sea level rise as part of its process in designing the cleanup at the HPNS. For example, at the landfill in Parcel E-2, the combined size of the retaining wall and sea wall, once complete, will be 35 feet wide and twelve feet higher than the current average sea level. The walls are built to withstand future sea level rise, severe earthquakes, and waves as high as any experienced in the past one hundred years. These walls are just one part of a comprehensive protection system, which also includes a cover of clean soil up to six feet deep.

In addition, in cases where hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants remain onsite at levels higher than would allow for unlimited use and unrestricted exposure, the adequacy of a Superfund site's cleanup remedy is reevaluated no less than every five years. As such, the Navy will continue to review the remedy at the HPNS in future to ensure protection of human health and the environment.

Q6: How are congressional budgets affecting the EPA's work in this specific case and in other cases like this?

For Superfund sites on military facilities, such as the HPNS, the Department of Defense (DoD) is responsible for the investigation and cleanup of the site. Over the years, EPA's oversight costs have come from both DoD and EPA's budget. Generally, EPA's oversight costs for Superfund sites at military facilities come from EPA's budget. However, at the HPNS, the Navy has provided a short-term supplement to cover some of EPA's work.

Q7: How is the EPA taking into account the disproportionate rates of asthma and cancer in this community as it oversees this cleanup?

Before EPA approves any cleanup plan, a rigorous Human Health Risk Assessment analysis is conducted, which takes into account the sensitivity of children, seniors, and other vulnerable populations. We are using an approach that is protective for all populations, including those who have disproportionate rates of health effects. In addition, the Navy and EPA are obligated to review the integrity of the cleanup remedy at least every five years to ensure that the systems in place are still protective of residents and the environment.

From: Huitric, Michele

Sent: Wednesday, September 27, 2017 11:38 AM

To: Lyons, John <Lyons.John@epa.gov>

Cc: Manzanilla, Enrique <Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov>; Herrera, Angeles <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>; Chesnutt, John <Chesnutt.John@epa.gov>; Harris-Bishop, Rusty <Harris-Bishop.Rusty@epa.gov>; Huitric, Michele <Huitric.Michele@epa.gov>

Subject: For review today: Responses to media query - Hunters Point - freelance reporter

Hi John,

Since Angeles and Enrique are travelling to Nevada today, I am sending you a proposed response to a media query we received about Hunters Point (details below). Please take a look and let me know if you have any edits or if we are ok to move forward. After your review, I will be sending to HQ; they have also been contacted by the reporter so I would like to send to them today.

Thank you,

Michele

From: Huitric, Michele

Sent: Tuesday, September 26, 2017 2:11 PM

To: Manzanilla, Enrique <Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov>; Herrera, Angeles <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>

Cc: Chesnutt, John <Chesnutt.John@epa.gov>; Harris-Bishop, Rusty <Harris-Bishop.Rusty@epa.gov>; Huitric, Michele <Huitric.Michele@epa.gov>

Subject: For review: Responses to media query - Hunters Point - freelance reporter

Enrique and Angeles ~

UC Berkeley student reporter Laura Newberry is working on a story about the Hunters Point Naval Shipyard cleanup. Would you please take a look at our proposed responses to her questions (attached and below)? If possible, please send me your thoughts by **midday tomorrow (9/27)**, as I will also need to clear the responses through HQ before reporter's deadline.

By the way, we know the reporter has also contacted the Navy with questions. I will include this blurb in my response to her: "For more information on the HPNS investigation and cleanup, contact William Franklin, Navy public affairs officer: (619) 524-5433, william.d.franklin@navy.mil."

Thank you,
Michele

Q1: To what extent is the EPA overseeing this remediation project?

EPA helps protect human health and the environment by managing the cleanup of hazardous waste sites across the nation. In the case of former military sites, such as the Hunters Point Naval Shipyard (HPNS), the Department of Defense is the lead for the investigation and cleanup. In overseeing the Navy's cleanup of the HPNS, EPA's goal is to ensure that the community is protected from exposure to radiation and other hazardous substances and that the site can be used for work, recreation, and residential purposes.

Q2: What has been the nature of the EPA's engagement with the Navy through this project? how are you coordinating remediation efforts with the Navy? Who takes the lead on what?

The Navy is the lead agency responsible for the investigation and cleanup of the HPNS. EPA and the State of California regulatory agency partners oversee and enforce Navy compliance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (commonly called the Superfund law) and other requirements to ensure the cleanup at the HPNS protects human health and the environment. The Navy and regulatory agencies work together to decide how to address the contamination; the Navy also gathers community input through a public process.

Q3: How is the EPA working with the community members who live near the Shipyard? How are community members being involved in the cleanup?

The Navy leads the community involvement process at HPNS, which has included hiring a local community liaison and a community technical advisor, hosting bus tours and community workshops, and giving presentations for local organizations. EPA participates in Navy community engagement events, takes part in meetings hosted by local organizations, and responds to inquiries from the general public.

Q4: Can you help me explain to readers why two consulting firms / subcontractors for the Navy (Tetra Tech and CH2M Hill) might have produced false information for their remediation reports?

The Navy manages the contracts for the HPNS cleanup. Please contact the Navy with any questions about contractors' work at the site.

Q5: How does the EPA take into account sea level rise and potential natural disasters (namely earthquakes) when overseeing this sort of coastal remediation work?

When approving a remedy for a Superfund site, EPA takes into consideration the long-term effectiveness and permanence of the potential remedy. The effects of sea level rise and potential natural disasters are usually considered as part of this approval process.

The Navy accounted for sea level rise as part of its process in designing the cleanup at the HPNS. For example, at the landfill in Parcel E-2, the combined size of the retaining wall and sea wall, once complete, will be 35 feet wide and twelve feet higher than the current average sea level. The walls are built to withstand future sea level rise, severe earthquakes, and waves as high as any experienced in the past one hundred years. These walls are just one part of a comprehensive protection system, which also includes a cover of clean soil up to six feet deep.

In addition, in cases where hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants remain onsite at levels higher than would allow for unlimited use and unrestricted exposure, the adequacy of a Superfund site's cleanup remedy is reevaluated no less than every five years. As such, the Navy will continue to review the remedy at the HPNS in future to ensure protection of human health and the environment.

Q6: How are congressional budgets affecting the EPA's work in this specific case and in other cases like this?

For Superfund sites on military facilities, such as the HPNS, the Department of Defense (DoD) is responsible for the investigation and cleanup of the site. Over the years, EPA's oversight costs have come from both DoD and EPA's budget. Currently, generally, EPA's oversight costs for Superfund sites at military facilities come from EPA's budget. However, at the HPNS, the Navy has provided a short-term supplement to cover some of EPA's work.

Q7: How is the EPA taking into account the disproportionate rates of asthma and cancer in this community as it oversees this cleanup?

Before EPA approves any cleanup plan, a rigorous Human Health Risk Assessment analysis is conducted, which takes into account the sensitivity of children, seniors, and other vulnerable populations. We are using an approach that is protective for all populations, including those who have disproportionate rates of health effects. In addition, the Navy and EPA are obligated to review the integrity of the cleanup remedy at least every five years to ensure that the systems in place are still protective of residents and the environment.